# Water Scarcity Management and Finance: A Research and Education Agenda

CHARILAOS MERTZANIS
Abu Dhabi University, UAE
charilaos.mertzanis@adu.ac.ae

**Abstract**

Water scarcity is a pressing global issue with significant impacts on public health, agriculture, industry, and ecosystems. This paper identifies key challenges, including fragmented governance, insufficient financing, inadequate technical solutions, and social inequities. Governance issues, such as lack of stakeholder coordination, exacerbate inefficiencies, while a $2 trillion annual financing gap highlights the urgent need for innovative funding mechanisms like blended finance, green bonds, and debt-for-nature swaps. Technical barriers, including data gaps and limited scalable solutions, impede decision-making, while social challenges, such as affordability and displacement from large-scale projects, further complicate water management. To address these challenges, the paper outlines a comprehensive policy framework emphasizing institutional reforms, financial innovation, and advanced technological solutions. It advocates for participatory approaches to ensure inclusivity, targeted subsidies for equitable access, and public awareness campaigns to support water conservation. Additionally, it highlights the importance of a robust research and education agenda focusing on interdisciplinary approaches, dynamic policy frameworks, and resilience metrics. This analysis provides a strategic foundation for addressing water scarcity management and finance. It offers actionable solutions to mobilize resources, enhance governance, and ensure sustainable and equitable water use, helping to build resilience against escalating global water challenges.

**Keywords**

water scarcity, water management, water finance, human rights, policies, research and education

## 1 Introduction

Global water resources face significant challenges driven by population growth, economic development, climate change, constrained government budgets, and rising nationalism. Currently, approximately 97% of Earth's water is saline, leaving only 2.5% as freshwater, with nearly 70% of this freshwater locked in glaciers and ice caps, and the remainder found in groundwater, lakes, rivers, and the atmosphere (Wikipedia, n.d.). Agriculture dominates freshwater use, accounting for about 72% of global withdrawals, followed by industry at 16% and domestic use at 12% (Statista, 2022). As of 2022, around 2.4 billion people lived in areas experiencing extreme water stress, a figure projected to double by 2050, potentially affecting up to 5 billion individuals (Statista, 2022; Carbon Brief, n.d.). Over the next 30 years, global water demand is expected to increase by 20% to 25%, driven by factors such as population growth, urbanization, and industrialization (World Resources Institute, n.d.). Climate change will further exacerbate water scarcity by altering

precipitation patterns, increasing drought frequency, and reducing the reliability of water supplies (Carbon Brief, n.d.). Economically, by 2050, 31% of global GDP, equivalent to $70 trillion, will be exposed to high water stress, up from 24% in 2020 (World Resources Institute, n.d.). Regions like the Middle East, North Africa, and parts of Asia will likely face the most severe water scarcity due to their arid climates, high population densities, and limited renewable water resources (World Resources Institute, n.d.).

Water scarcity impacts multiple sectors of water management, reflecting its interconnected and systemic nature. Key areas include drinking water supply, wastewater management, agricultural irrigation, flood control, and industrial water use. Globally, agriculture accounts for about 70% of water withdrawals, with this figure rising to 90% in arid regions, underscoring the sector's heavy dependency on water resources and the urgent need for efficiency improvements (Our World in Data, 2023). Urban and industrial wastewater treatment systems represent another critical sub-sector, often requiring significant investments in infrastructure to ensure environmental sustainability (UNESCO, 2021). Additionally, flood protection and drainage systems are essential for mitigating water-related disasters, which disproportionately affect low-income regions (UNESCO, 2021). Groundwater management, vital for sustaining urban and rural water needs, faces overexploitation risks in many areas due to inadequate regulatory oversight (UNESCO, 2021). Finally, the increasing pressure on aquatic ecosystems from industrial pollution highlights the necessity of integrated water management policies to balance environmental protection with resource use (UNESCO, 2021). Addressing water scarcity requires a holistic approach, ensuring coordination across these diverse sectors to achieve sustainable and equitable water use.

Despite global recognition of water as a fundamental resource, addressing water needs has faced significant obstacles due to multifaceted challenges spanning governance, financing, technical capacity, and climate impacts. Firstly, governance systems are often fragmented, with limited institutional capacity to design and implement effective water management policies, particularly in developing countries (Alaerts, 2019). Secondly, financing gaps are stark, with the required global water investment estimated at $2 trillion annually, far exceeding the current allocation (Asian Development Bank, 2016). Much of this is due to the high risks and low returns perceived by private investors, compounded by a lack of bankable projects and weak creditworthiness of water utilities (McKinsey, 2017). Additionally, data and monitoring deficits hinder informed decision-making, while tailored technical solutions are often prohibitively expensive for low-income regions. Finally, climate change has intensified water scarcity, with 40% of the global population expected to live in severely water-stressed regions by 2050, amplifying the urgency of comprehensive and well-financed water strategies (OECD, 2016; UN-Water, 2020). These interconnected challenges highlight the need for integrated, scalable, and well-funded water management solutions.

Water scarcity is an urgent global issue that demands immediate attention, as its impacts extend across critical areas such as public health, agriculture, industrial productivity, and environmental sustainability. According to the United Nations, global water demand is expected to exceed supply by 40% by 2030 if current management and financial inadequacies persist (UNESCO, 2021). This gap is exacerbated by factors such as population growth, climate change, and aging water

infrastructure, which collectively hinder equitable and sustainable access to water resources. Without effective management and financing solutions, water scarcity threatens to undermine economic stability, food security, and the well-being of billions of people worldwide (World Bank, 2023).

At COP28, the critical issue of water scarcity was prominently addressed, with significant commitments made to tackle its global impacts. During the conference's Food, Agriculture, and Water Day, 152 countries endorsed the COP28 UAE Declaration on Agriculture, Food Systems, and Climate Action, emphasizing a unified effort to combat water scarcity and enhance food security (COP28, 2023). Building on this momentum, COP29 has continued to prioritize water scarcity, focusing on innovative solutions and sustainable management practices to address this pressing challenge.

The paper underscores the need for urgent reforms in water scarcity management and financing by providing a comprehensive overview of the main challenges and reform imperatives. It identifies fragmented governance structures, insufficient financing mechanisms, and a lack of scalable technical solutions as significant barriers to addressing water scarcity effectively. Additionally, it highlights the critical role of integrating equity considerations, particularly in low-income regions where affordability and access remain pressing concerns (Springer, 2013). Addressing these barriers is crucial, as over 2 billion people currently lack access to safely managed drinking water services, emphasizing the dire need for systemic reforms (UN-Water, 2020).

By outlining an agenda for research and education, the paper serves as a foundational resource for addressing these complex challenges. It emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary approaches in water management, blending technical, financial, and governance perspectives to develop innovative solutions. Moreover, the paper calls for enhanced focus on financial instruments such as public-private partnerships, blended finance, and green bonds to mobilize the resources necessary for sustainable water management (World Bank, 2023). It also advocates for the integration of educational initiatives that equip future professionals with the skills and knowledge needed to tackle water scarcity (UNESCO, 2021).

This document not only provides a basic understanding of the challenges associated with water scarcity but also offers a strategic framework for reforms. It bridges gaps in current management practices and financing strategies, laying the groundwork for robust research and educational initiatives that aim to ensure water security for future generations. By addressing these pressing issues holistically, the paper contributes to a global agenda for sustainable water use and equitable resource distribution (Springer, 2013; UN-Water, 2020).

The reminder of the paper is structured into eight sections. Section 2 contrasts water as a human right versus an economic resource, while Section 3 examines climate mitigation and adaptation policies. Section 4 analyzes the financial sector's role in addressing water scarcity. Section 5 discusses challenges in water management policies. Section 6 outlines a research agenda, and

Section 7 focuses on education initiatives. Section 8 concludes with actionable strategies for sustainable water management.

## 2 Water as a human right or water as an economic management objective

Regulatory approaches to water access typically align with one of two paradigms: water as a human right or water as an economic management objective, each carrying distinct policy implications. Treating water as a human right emphasizes equity, universal access, and non-discrimination, requiring governments to ensure affordable and sufficient water for all, often through subsidies or public provision.

In contrast, viewing water as an economic resource focuses on efficiency, cost recovery, and incentivizing conservation, often through market-based mechanisms like tiered pricing or water trading. While the human rights approach prioritizes social justice and basic needs, the economic management perspective aims to optimize resource allocation and infrastructure sustainability. These differing priorities can lead to tensions in balancing equity with efficiency, especially in regions with limited resources. The integration of these approaches in policymaking remains a critical challenge (Dinar & Schwabe, 2015; Rogers, de Silva, & Bhatia, 2002).
Access to water as a human right is founded on the principle that water is a necessity for life and should be universally available to all individuals without discrimination. This view emphasizes the intrinsic value of water, recognizing it as essential for health, dignity, and life itself. Central to this principle is the commitment to equity and non-discrimination, which prioritizes universal access, especially for marginalized populations who are often most affected by water scarcity. Governments have a clear obligation to ensure that water services are sufficient, safe, and affordable for all citizens, even when these services are not economically profitable. This commitment was globally acknowledged by the United Nations in 2010, when it formally recognized the human right to safe and clean drinking water and sanitation (OHCHR, 2021). The approach to implementing this principle often involves treating water services as public goods. This means that water provision may be subsidized or offered free of charge to ensure affordability, particularly for low-income populations. Policies focus on meeting minimum standards for accessibility, quality, and availability to ensure that all individuals, regardless of their economic circumstances, have reliable access to water (Cambridge University Press, n.d.).

This approach brings several advantages, particularly in promoting fairness and social justice. By ensuring that access to water is not determined by economic status, this perspective addresses basic survival and dignity, especially in underserved or low-income areas. It helps reduce inequalities and supports the well-being of vulnerable populations, contributing to overall social equity and sustainability (OHCHR, 2021). However, there are significant challenges to implementing water as a human right. Funding and infrastructure constraints often limit the ability of governments to provide universal access to safe and sufficient water. Additionally, the approach requires substantial government intervention and regulation to uphold standards and prevent inequities in water distribution. These challenges can be particularly acute in regions with limited

resources or weak governance structures, where ensuring equitable access may prove difficult (Cambridge University Press, n.d.).

On the other hand, the perspective of water access as an economic management objective views water as a valuable economic resource that must be allocated and managed efficiently to maximize its utility. This approach emphasizes the scarcity and efficiency of water, recognizing it as a finite resource that requires prudent use and management (Young, 2005). A market-oriented approach is often adopted, where water is priced to reflect its true costs, —including extraction, treatment, and delivery—to incentivize conservation and efficient usage (Dinar & Schwabe, 2015). Access to water may also be influenced by economic considerations, such as the ability to pay or the value of water for industrial, agricultural, or municipal use (Grafton & Horne, 2014). Furthermore, this perspective focuses on infrastructure and investment, advocating for sustainable financing, infrastructure development, and cost recovery through user fees or tariffs (Grigg, 2011).

In practice, water services may be privatized or managed through public-private partnerships, which can help improve efficiency and attract investment (Marin, 2009). Pricing mechanisms like tiered tariffs or water trading are commonly used to regulate demand and allocate resources effectively (Rogers, de Silva, & Bhatia, 2002). This approach also encourages technological innovation and investments aimed at improving supply efficiency and reducing waste (Perry, Rock, & Seckler, 1997).

The advantages of this perspective include promoting sustainable and efficient water use, generating funding for the maintenance and expansion of infrastructure, and aligning water allocation with economic growth priorities (Young, 2005). However, challenges exist, such as the risk of excluding low-income groups if affordability is not adequately addressed, the potential prioritization of economic activities over social needs, and the possibility of over-commercialization undermining equity (Rogers et al., 2002).

Balancing the two perspectives on water access, treating it as a human right and as an economic resource, requires a nuanced, hybrid approach that incorporates the strengths of both paradigms while mitigating their weaknesses. Such an approach recognizes that water is essential for human survival and dignity, prioritizing universal access to clean and safe water for basic needs, such as drinking, cooking, and sanitation, as a fundamental human right. This ensures that marginalized and low-income populations are not excluded due to financial constraints. To achieve this, governments can provide subsidies, establish minimum access guarantees, and maintain public control over critical water services to uphold equity and social justice.

At the same time, water is also a valuable and finite economic resource that requires efficient allocation to maximize its utility. For industrial, agricultural, and other high-consumption uses, water can be managed through market-based mechanisms such as pricing, tiered tariffs, and water trading. This encourages conservation, incentivizes technological innovation, and ensures that the cost of extraction, treatment, and delivery is recovered sustainably. In this context, private sector participation through public-private partnerships can also play a vital role in developing infrastructure and improving supply efficiency.

The hybrid approach allows policymakers to address both the ethical imperative of ensuring access to water for all and the practical need for resource efficiency and economic sustainability. However, implementing such a model requires robust regulatory frameworks to prevent over-commercialization and safeguard the rights of vulnerable populations. A well-designed hybrid approach can strike a balance between equity and efficiency, ensuring that water resources are managed sustainably while meeting both social and economic objectives.

## 3 Climate mitigation versus climate adaptation policies

There is a gradual shift in environmental research and policy focus from climate mitigation to climate adaptation, which reflects the growing acknowledgment that many impacts of climate change are now unavoidable. While mitigation efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions remain essential, the delayed progress in emissions reductions and the long-lasting effects of existing emissions in the atmosphere have made adaptation an urgent priority (Adger, Arnell, & Tompkins, 2005). Policymakers and researchers are increasingly addressing the immediate and anticipated consequences of climate change, such as rising sea levels, extreme weather events, and ecosystem disruptions, by focusing on resilience-building strategies. This shift also recognizes the pressing need to protect vulnerable communities and ecosystems, ensuring sustainable development in the face of a changing climate (Folke et al., 2010). Adaptation thus complements mitigation by addressing the current realities of climate impacts while still working towards long-term solutions.

Climate mitigation policies aim to reduce or prevent greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, thereby limiting future climate change. These policies focus on addressing the root causes of climate change by reducing GHG emissions (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], 2018) and enhancing carbon sinks, such as forests and wetlands, to absorb more carbon dioxide (Griscom et al., 2017). Examples of mitigation strategies include transitioning to renewable energy sources like solar, wind, and hydropower (Jacobson et al., 2017); improving energy efficiency in buildings, industries, and transportation (Ürge-Vorsatz et al., 2012); and implementing carbon pricing mechanisms such as carbon taxes or emissions trading systems (Stavins, 2008). Additionally, reforestation and afforestation projects are promoted to increase carbon sequestration (Bonan, 2008). Another significant strategy involves phasing out fossil fuels or setting net-zero emissions targets to decarbonize economies effectively (Rockström et al., 2017). The overarching goal of these policies is to slow down or halt the progression of global warming and mitigate its long-term consequences.

Climate adaptation policies aim to manage and minimize the impacts of climate change that are already occurring or anticipated. These policies focus on preparing for and adjusting to climate impacts to reduce vulnerability and enhance resilience (Adger, Arnell, & Tompkins, 2005), ensuring that ecosystems and economies can function despite changing climate conditions (Folke et al., 2010). Examples of adaptation strategies include building flood defenses and improving water management systems (Kundzewicz & Döll, 2009); designing climate-resilient infrastructure such as roads and buildings to withstand extreme conditions (Hallegatte, Green, Nicholls, & Corfee-

Morlot, 2013); and modifying agricultural practices, such as adopting drought-resistant crops and efficient irrigation systems (Lobell & Burke, 2010). Furthermore, developing early warning systems for extreme weather events is a critical measure to safeguard communities (Basher, 2006). In some cases, relocating vulnerable communities from high-risk areas may become necessary to ensure their safety (Hino, Field, & Mach, 2017). The overarching goal of these policies is to protect lives, livelihoods, and ecosystems from the adverse effects of climate change.

## 4 Response of the financial sector

The financial sector is increasingly recognizing the critical need to address water scarcity through innovative financing mechanisms and strategic investments. One significant response has been the development of strategic frameworks by leading institutions. For example, the World Bank's "Scaling Up Finance for Water" framework outlines comprehensive strategies and customizable roadmaps for mobilizing water-related financing. These frameworks aim to catalyze greater collaboration between public and private sectors, driving innovation and investment in water infrastructure and sustainability projects (World Bank, 2023).

In addition, financial institutions are integrating water-related risks into their decision-making processes. The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS), which includes 108 central banks, has emphasized the necessity of incorporating water risks into financial and economic stability assessments. This initiative highlights the importance of recognizing water scarcity as a material risk that could impact global markets and investment portfolios (World Economic Forum, 2022).

The private sector is also playing a crucial role in addressing water scarcity. The United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) underscores the importance of private sector engagement in mitigating water-related risks. Financial institutions are being encouraged to invest in water sustainability projects and participate in stakeholder dialogues to promote systemic change. Such involvement not only helps address water challenges but also aligns with broader environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals (UNEP FI, 2023).

Innovative financial instruments like debt-for-nature swaps have emerged as effective tools for addressing water scarcity. For instance, El Salvador recently completed a $1 billion debt buyback deal, dedicating $350 million to conserving the Lempa River watershed. This transaction represents the largest commitment of its kind and shows the potential of linking debt relief with environmental conservation (Reuters, 2024).

Another emerging avenue is the integration of voluntary carbon markets with water security initiatives. By tying carbon credits to water-related projects, financial mechanisms can provide sustainable funding while incentivizing the provision of safe water services globally. This approach offers a dual benefit: addressing both water scarcity and climate change in a synergistic manner (Deloitte, 2023).

Furthermore, regulatory frameworks are enhancing transparency in water-related financial risks. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) recent climate disclosure rule mandates that public companies disclose material risks associated with water scarcity. This initiative aims to promote greater accountability and transparency, ensuring that water risks are adequately considered in corporate strategies and reporting (Reuters, 2024).

These responses collectively reflect the financial sector's growing recognition of the imperative to address water scarcity through targeted investments, risk management, and innovative financing solutions. They underscore the need for collaborative efforts across public and private sectors to ensure sustainable and equitable water use in the face of escalating global challenges.

Addressing water scarcity requires substantial financial investments, prompting the development and implementation of various financial approaches and instruments. One significant strategy is public-private partnerships (PPPs), which leverage private capital and expertise to develop, operate, and maintain water facilities, reducing the financial burden on public budgets. For example, the Disi-Amman Water Conveyance Project in Jordan, valued at approximately $1 billion, was executed through a PPP, significantly enhancing water supply to Amman (Wikipedia, n.d.).

Another approach is blended finance, which combines public and private funds to mitigate investment risks and attract private capital into water projects. By using public funds to absorb initial risks, blended finance makes water projects more appealing to private investors. The World Bank's "Scaling Up Finance for Water" framework underscores the importance of blended finance in mobilizing resources for the water sector (World Bank, 2023).

Green and blue bonds are also gaining traction as debt instruments designed to raise capital specifically for environmental and water-related projects. While green bonds are used to fund sustainable water management initiatives, blue bonds focus on ocean and freshwater conservation. Notably, Seychelles issued a $15 million blue bond in 2018 to support sustainable marine and fisheries projects (UNESCO, 2021).

Debt-for-nature swaps represent another innovative financial mechanism, where countries restructure their debt in exchange for commitments to invest in environmental conservation. In October 2024, El Salvador completed a $1 billion debt buyback deal, dedicating $350 million to conserving the Lempa River watershed, marking the largest commitment of its kind (Reuters, 2024).

Microfinance for water and sanitation is another effective tool, offering small loans to households and small enterprises to invest in water supply and sanitation facilities. Organizations like Water.org have implemented WaterCredit programs, facilitating over 51,000 loans to improve water access in developing countries (Wikipedia, n.d.). Voluntary carbon markets are being explored to finance water initiatives through the sale of carbon offsets. By integrating water security projects into carbon credit schemes, this approach addresses water scarcity while contributing to climate change mitigation. A 2024 report by Deloitte highlights the potential of voluntary carbon markets to fund global water security projects (Deloitte, 2024).

Finally, economic instruments such as water tariffs, taxes, and tradable permits promote efficient water use and generate revenue for water management. For instance, Jordan has implemented increasing-block tariffs for residential water use, where higher consumption leads to higher rates, encouraging conservation and generating funds for the water sector (Wikipedia, n.d.). These financial approaches and instruments are critical for mobilizing the resources needed to tackle water scarcity, ensuring sustainable water management, and building resilience against water-related challenges.

## 5 Challenges in developing water management policies

The key challenges for effective water scarcity management policies span five critical areas: institutional, involving fragmented governance and weak capacity; financial, including high adaptation costs and limited access to climate funds; technical, such as data gaps and complex project designs; political, encompassing competing priorities and resistance to reforms; and socioeconomic, which address affordability concerns, displacement impacts, and public awareness. Addressing these interconnected challenges is essential to developing sustainable water management strategies.

### 5.1 Institutional challenges

Institutional challenges significantly impact the effectiveness of water management and climate adaptation efforts. Fragmented governance is a key issue, as water management often involves multiple stakeholders across local, national, and international levels, leading to coordination challenges. This fragmentation can result in overlapping responsibilities and inefficiencies. For example, research on Ontario's water governance demonstrates how legal institutions contribute to fragmentation, complicating effective management (Mitchell, 2011).

Another major challenge is the lack of integrated water planning, where many countries fail to incorporate water financing into broader climate adaptation strategies. This leads to inefficiencies and funding gaps, undermining resilience-building efforts. A World Bank report highlights the critical need for integrated planning in transboundary basins to ensure effective resource allocation and climate adaptation (World Bank, 2018).

Additionally, weak institutional capacity hinders the ability to design, implement, and monitor adaptation projects, making it difficult to attract and manage financing effectively. Strengthening institutional capacity is essential, as research emphasizes the importance of robust governance frameworks in achieving improved water management outcomes (Rogers et al., 2020).

### 5.2 Financial challenges

Financial challenges pose significant obstacles to effective water adaptation strategies, particularly in developing countries. The excessive costs of adaptation projects, such as building dams, desalination plants, and flood defenses, require substantial upfront investment that is often beyond the financial capacity of many nations. Research highlights that the infrastructure

demands for climate resilience could cost trillions globally, with developing countries facing disproportionate challenges (Hallegatte et al., 2013).

Another barrier is the uncertainty about climate impacts, which makes it difficult to estimate funding needs and prioritize investments. As future climate scenarios remain unpredictable, governments and financiers often struggle to allocate resources efficiently. A study by Ranger et al. (2010) underlines the complexities of planning under uncertainty and the need for flexible, iterative approaches to financing adaptation.

Accessing climate finance is also a significant hurdle for developing countries. International mechanisms like the Green Climate Fund are critical, but bureaucratic requirements, a lack of technical expertise, and insufficient project proposals often prevent these countries from fully utilizing available funds. Betzold and Weiler (2018) note that institutional barriers and capacity constraints undermine the effectiveness of climate finance in addressing adaptation needs.

Low revenue generation from water services exacerbates financial constraints. In many low-income regions, water is heavily subsidized or provided free of charge, limiting opportunities for cost recovery. Studies show that while subsidies ensure affordability, they often leave water utilities financially unsustainable (Whittington et al., 2009).

Finally, private sector hesitancy limits investment in adaptation projects. Private investors frequently perceive water projects as high-risk with limited financial returns due to the public good nature of water services. A report by the World Bank (2016) highlights the need for innovative financial models to attract private sector involvement in water infrastructure projects.

### 5.3 Technical challenges

Technical challenges significantly impede the effectiveness of water adaptation strategies, limiting their impact and scalability. Data and monitoring gaps are a major hurdle, as the limited availability of reliable hydrological and climate data hampers effective planning and resource allocation. This issue is particularly acute in regions with underdeveloped observation networks. For example, Africa has the world's least developed weather and climate observation infrastructure, with only two out of 53 African WMO member countries meeting basic observation standards (Reuters, 2024).

Another challenge is the complexity of project design. Adaptation projects often require multidisciplinary expertise, integrating scientific, engineering, and socio-economic disciplines to address the multifaceted nature of climate adaptation. This complexity increases the costs and timelines for implementation. Research highlights the importance of interdisciplinary approaches to overcome these challenges and ensure effective project execution (Smith et al., 2021).

Finally, the lack of scalable solutions poses significant difficulties. Many adaptation measures need to be tailored to local conditions, which complicates the development of standardized financing models that can be applied broadly. While localized interventions are critical for addressing specific challenges, they hinder the creation of scalable frameworks necessary for widespread

adaptation. Reports emphasize the challenge of scaling adaptation solutions due to their inherently context-specific nature (UNFCCC, 2022).

### 5.4 Political challenges

Political challenges significantly impact the effectiveness of water adaptation strategies and the ability to implement sustainable solutions. Competing priorities are a key issue, as governments often focus on immediate economic needs over long-term investments in water adaptation. This short-termism can result in underfunding critical water infrastructure projects, increasing vulnerability to climate impacts. Research shows that short-term economic goals frequently overshadow necessary investments in climate resilience, delaying essential water adaptation measures (Sustainable Earth Reviews, 2023).

Equity issues also arise, as balancing funding allocation between urban and rural areas, or between large-scale projects and community-based initiatives, can lead to political tensions. Disparities in resource distribution often exacerbate social inequalities, leaving marginalized communities with inadequate access to water services. Studies emphasize the need for inclusive policies that address the diverse needs of rural and underserved populations to ensure equitable water access (OUP, 2023).

Another challenge is resistance to tariff increases, where political opposition or public reluctance to higher water prices hampers cost recovery and deters private investment. Although water tariff reforms are essential for maintaining and improving infrastructure, they often face significant pushbacks due to affordability concerns. Research highlights the complexities of balancing financial viability with public acceptability, making tariff adjustments a politically sensitive issue (OUP, 2023).

Finally, corruption and mismanagement further undermine water adaptation efforts. Misallocation of funds and a lack of transparency erode public trust and reduce the effectiveness of financing mechanisms. Analyses of water governance systems indicate that corruption often diverts resources away from intended projects, calling for stronger accountability measures to ensure successful implementation (OUP, 2023).

### 5.5 Socioeconomic challenges

Socioeconomic challenges significantly impact the implementation of water adaptation strategies, often hindering equitable and effective solutions. Affordability concerns are a key issue, particularly in low-income communities where balancing equitable access with cost-recovery mechanisms proves challenging. Implementing water tariffs to fund infrastructure can disproportionately burden these populations. A study highlights that in nearly half of OECD countries, water affordability is already a pressing or emerging issue, emphasizing the need for policies that ensure access without imposing undue financial strain on vulnerable groups (IWA, 2023).

Displacement and social impacts pose another challenge, as large-scale adaptation projects, such as dam construction, can uproot communities, leading to social conflicts and political resistance.

Research shows that population displacement caused by such projects often results in loss of livelihoods, cultural disintegration, and increased social tensions, undermining the perceived benefits of these initiatives (Springer, 2022).

Limited awareness of the importance of climate-resilient water systems further reduces public and political support for necessary investments. Without widespread understanding of the risks posed by water scarcity and climate change, stakeholders are less likely to prioritize funding for sustainable water management. A study notes that raising awareness about these issues is essential to building momentum for effective policy implementation and long-term resilience (PLOS ONE, 2021).

## 6 Developing a water scarcity management research agenda

A comprehensive research agenda for water scarcity management should address critical challenges across institutional, financial, technical, political, and socioeconomic dimensions. Institutional reforms and governance are vital to overcoming fragmented governance structures and promoting integrated water management. Research should focus on creating frameworks for coordination among local, national, and international stakeholders. Strengthening institutional capacity to design, implement, and monitor water adaptation projects, especially in developing regions, is equally critical. Additionally, studies should investigate mechanisms to balance resource allocation between urban and rural needs, ensuring equity and fairness in water distribution (Springer, 2013).

Innovative financial mechanisms are essential for sustainable water management. Research should explore the viability of blended financing approaches that combine public funds, private investment, and international climate finance. Addressing barriers to accessing climate funds, such as bureaucratic hurdles and technical expertise gaps, is also crucial. Furthermore, strategies to balance cost-recovery mechanisms with equitable access, particularly for low-income communities, must be developed to ensure affordability without compromising financial sustainability (Springer, 2022).

Advancing technical solutions is another priority area. Filling gaps in hydrological and climate data through technology, such as remote sensing and artificial intelligence, is vital for informed decision-making. Research should also focus on standardizing scalable adaptation measures that remain flexible for local conditions. Interdisciplinary approaches that integrate engineering, environmental science, and social sciences are essential to design comprehensive and effective water adaptation projects (MDPI, 2023).

Addressing political barriers is equally important. Research should investigate strategies to align long-term water adaptation goals with immediate economic priorities, ensuring that short-term needs do not undermine resilience-building efforts. Overcoming public resistance to water tariff reforms requires studies on effective communication and policy design to improve social

acceptance. Additionally, governance frameworks that enhance transparency and accountability are critical for reducing corruption and ensuring efficient allocation of resources (Springer, 2013).

Socioeconomic considerations must also be central to water scarcity management research. Participatory approaches that engage communities in planning processes can reduce displacement and social conflicts caused by large-scale projects. Public and political awareness campaigns and educational initiatives are needed to enhance understanding of water scarcity and the importance of climate-resilient systems. Moreover, research should focus on addressing the intersection of water scarcity, poverty, and inequality to ensure inclusiveness and fairness in water management policies (Springer, 2022).

Exploring hybrid approaches that integrate water as a human right with market-based models is essential for balancing equity and efficiency. Studies should analyze successful public-private partnerships that combine public oversight with private sector innovation to develop sustainable and inclusive water management systems. Similarly, research on climate change adaptation and resilience should emphasize dynamic, iterative planning frameworks that can accommodate uncertainties in climate impacts. Developing metrics to measure resilience outcomes will help track the effectiveness of adaptation measures under varying scenarios (MDPI, 2023). This research agenda aims to provide a comprehensive, interdisciplinary, and equitable approach to managing water scarcity, addressing both immediate needs and long-term sustainability in the face of global challenges.

## 7 Developing a water scarcity management education agenda

A higher education agenda for water scarcity management should equip students and professionals with the interdisciplinary knowledge and skills needed to tackle the complex challenges associated with sustainable water resource management. Interdisciplinary curriculum development is essential to address these challenges. Programs should integrate hydrology, environmental science, economics, engineering, and social sciences to provide a comprehensive understanding of water management. Modules on the intersection of water scarcity and climate change, resilience planning, and policy frameworks prepare students to address long-term impacts effectively. Additionally, courses on advanced data analytics, remote sensing, and geographic information systems (GIS) are crucial for developing technical expertise in water resource monitoring and decision-making (Oxford Research Encyclopedia, 2017).

A focus on governance and policy is crucial for addressing institutional and political challenges. Students should learn about water governance frameworks, strategies for resolving fragmented management, and enhancing institutional capacity. Courses on policy development should emphasize designing equitable and effective water policies, including public-private partnerships and tariff reforms. Exploring the legal and ethical dimensions of water management, such as balancing the human right to water with its economic value, provides a nuanced perspective on equity and sustainability (MDPI, 2020).

Financial management and innovation should also form a core part of the agenda. Training in accessing international climate funds, preparing project proposals, and navigating bureaucratic processes is vital. Case studies on blended finance, cost recovery mechanisms, and subsidy design can offer insights into sustainable financing models that ensure affordability. Furthermore, courses should address strategies for engaging the private sector in water projects while safeguarding public interest and promoting equity (World Bank, 2022).

Developing technological skills is essential for addressing technical challenges in water management. Training in technologies such as artificial intelligence, Internet of Things (IoT), and satellite-based monitoring systems can help bridge data gaps in hydrology and climate forecasting. Practical skills for designing and managing complex water adaptation projects that integrate technical, social, and environmental considerations are equally important. Encouraging research and innovation in scalable adaptation technologies tailored to local conditions is also vital (World Water Council, 2023).

Community and stakeholder engagement is another critical area of focus. Emphasizing participatory approaches equips students with the skills to involve communities in planning processes and address displacement and social impacts of water projects. Courses on communication strategies can help raise public and political awareness about water scarcity and climate resilience. A strong focus on equity and inclusion ensures that policies prioritize marginalized communities and promote social justice (Springer, 2022).

Leadership and decision-making training are necessary for future policymakers and water managers. Strategic planning courses enable individuals to navigate competing priorities and resource constraints. Emphasizing ethical leadership, accountability, and transparency is essential to combat corruption and mismanagement in water governance. Additionally, fostering adaptive leadership skills prepares individuals to manage water-related crises and uncertainties effectively (Springer, 2018).

Finally, the agenda should prioritize research and innovation. Research on hybrid models that integrate human rights and economic approaches to water management can balance equity and efficiency. Developing flexible, iterative policy frameworks that accommodate uncertainties in climate impacts and changing socioeconomic conditions is essential for effective water management. Moreover, creating resilience metrics to measure and evaluate outcomes in water systems ensures that adaptation efforts are both sustainable and impactful (MDPI, 2018).

## 8 Conclusion

Water scarcity presents a multifaceted challenge, impacting public health, agriculture, industry, and environmental sustainability. Key problems include fragmented governance, insufficient financing mechanisms, inadequate technical solutions, and social inequities. Institutional barriers, such as the lack of coordination among local, national, and international stakeholders, exacerbate inefficiencies in resource allocation and policy implementation. Financially, the gap between the resources required—estimated at $2 trillion annually—and available investments highlights the

urgent need for innovative funding mechanisms. Moreover, inadequate technical capacity and data gaps hinder informed decision-making, while social challenges, such as affordability concerns and displacement from large-scale projects, create additional complexities.

Addressing these challenges requires an integrated and interdisciplinary approach. Suggested policies include strengthening governance structures through institutional reforms that promote equity and efficiency. Innovative financial instruments such as blended finance, green and blue bonds, and debt-for-nature swaps can mobilize resources while incentivizing sustainable water management practices. Enhancing technical solutions through investments in advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence and remote sensing, can bridge critical data gaps and improve planning.

Additionally, socioeconomic policies must prioritize community engagement and inclusivity. Participatory approaches can address social conflicts, while targeted subsidies and tiered water pricing can ensure affordability for marginalized populations. Public awareness campaigns and educational initiatives are essential for fostering understanding and support for water conservation and adaptation strategies.

Finally, a robust research and education agenda is vital to develop the knowledge and skills needed to tackle water scarcity effectively. Research should focus on integrating human rights and economic perspectives, dynamic policy frameworks, and resilience metrics. Education programs must emphasize interdisciplinary learning, equipping future professionals with technical and governance expertise to address this pressing global issue. By implementing these policies, the global community can move toward sustainable and equitable water resource management, ensuring resilience against future challenges.

## References

Adger, W. N., Arnell, N. W., & Tompkins, E. L. (2005). Successful adaptation to climate change across scales. *Global Environmental Change, 15*(2), 77–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.12.005

Alaerts, G. J. (2019). Institutions and capacity development in the water sector: *Reflections and proposals for a way forward. Water Policy, 21*(4), 619–636. https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2019.181

Asian Development Bank. (2016). *Meeting Asia's infrastructure needs*. Manila: Asian Development Bank. Retrieved from https://www.adb.org.

Basher, R. (2006). Global early warning systems for natural hazards: Systematic and people-centred. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 364*(1845), 2167–2182. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2006.1819

Betzold, C., & Weiler, F. (2018). Allocation of aid for adaptation to climate change: Do vulnerable countries receive more support? *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 18*(1), 59–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-017-9364-8

Bonan, G. B. (2008). Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. *Science, 320*(5882), 1444–1449. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155121

Cambridge University Press. (n.d.). *Addressing water poverty under climate crisis: Implications for social policy*. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/social-policy-and-society/article/addressing-water-poverty-under-climate-crisis-implications-for-social-policy/E4AD85885AAD40FCAB5DF4323CBD233C

Carbon Brief. (n.d.). *Water scarcity and climate change impacts*. Retrieved from https://www.carbonbrief.org

COP28. (2023). *Food, agriculture, and water day focuses on water scarcity*. Retrieved from https://www.cop28.com/en/news/2023/12/COP28-Food-griculture-and-Water-Day

Deloitte. (2024). *Scaling carbon credits for water security projects.* Retrieved from https://deloitte.wsj.com

Dinar, A., & Schwabe, K. (2015). *Handbook of water economics*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Folke, C., Carpenter, S. R., Walker, B., Scheffer, M., Chapin, T., & Rockström, J. (2010). Resilience thinking: Integrating resilience, adaptability and transformability. *Ecology and Society, 15*(4), Article 20. Retrieved from https://www.ecologyandsociety.org

Gleick, P. H. (1998). The human right to water. *Water Policy, 1*(5), 487–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1366-7017(99)00008-2

Grafton, R. Q., & Horne, J. (2014). Water markets in the Murray-Darling Basin. *Agricultural Water Management, 145*, 61–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2013.12.001

Grigg, N. S. (2011). *Water finance: Public responsibilities and private opportunities*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Griscom, B. W., Adams, J., Ellis, P. W., Houghton, R. A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D. A., & Fargione, J. (2017). Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114*(44), 11645–11650. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114

Hallegatte, S., Green, C., Nicholls, R. J., & Corfee-Morlot, J. (2013). Future flood losses in major coastal cities. *Nature Climate Change, 3*(9), 802–806. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1979

Hallegatte, S., Shah, A., Lempert, R., Brown, C., & Gill, S. (2013). *Investment decision making under deep uncertainty: Application to climate change*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.worldbank.org

Hino, M., Field, C. B., & Mach, K. J. (2017). Managed retreat as a response to natural hazard risk. *Nature Climate Change, 7*(5), 364–370. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3252

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2018). *Global warming of 1.5°C: An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways*. Retrieved from https://www.ipcc.ch

International Water Association (IWA). (2023). Water affordability analysis: A critical review of policy approaches. *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology-AQUA, 72*(8), 1431–1450. https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2023.138

Jacobson, M. Z., Delucchi, M. A., Cameron, M. A., & Mathiesen, B. V. (2017). Matching demand with supply at low cost in 139 countries among 20 world regions with 100% intermittent wind, water, and sunlight (WWS) for all purposes. *Renewable Energy, 123*, 236–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.02.041

Kundzewicz, Z. W., & Döll, P. (2009). Will groundwater ease freshwater stress under climate change? *Hydrological Sciences Journal, 54*(4), 665–675. https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.54.4.665

Lobell, D. B., & Burke, M. B. (2010). On the use of statistical models to predict crop yield responses to climate change. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 150*(11), 1443–1452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.07.008

Marin, P. (2009). *Public-private partnerships for urban water utilities: A review of experiences in developing countries*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

McKinsey & Company. (2017). *Bridging infrastructure gaps: Has the world made progress?* Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com

MDPI. (2018). Resilience metrics for water management systems under uncertainty. *Water, 10*(1), Article 29. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10010029

MDPI. (2020). Water governance challenges: Balancing equity and efficiency. *Water, 12*(3), Article 827. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12030827

MDPI. (2023). Advancing technological solutions for water scarcity management. *Sustainability, 15*(4), Article 1241. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15041241

Mitchell, B. (2011). *Resource and environmental management in Canada: Addressing conflict and uncertainty.* Toronto: Oxford University Press. Retrieved from https://open.library.ubc.ca

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). (2021). *The human right to safe drinking water and sanitation*. Retrieved from https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2021-09/FactSheet35en.pdf

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2016). *Water, growth, and finance: Policy perspectives*. Paris: OECD Publishing. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org

Our World in Data. (2023). *Global water use and stress*. Retrieved from https://ourworldindata.org/water-use-stress

Oxford Research Encyclopedia. (2017). *Interdisciplinary curriculum and learning in higher education*. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/33279067

Oxford University Press (OUP). (2023). *Water governance challenges: Equity, tariffs, and corruption*. Oxford Academic. Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com

Perry, C. J., Rock, M., & Seckler, D. (1997). *Water as an economic good: A solution, or a problem?* Colombo: International Irrigation Management Institute.

PLOS ONE. (2021). Public awareness of water scarcity and climate adaptation: Barriers and solutions. *PLOS ONE, 16*(1), Article e0245237. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245237

Ranger, N., Hallegatte, S., Bhattacharya, S., Bachu, M., Priya, S., & Herweijer, C. (2010). Adaptation principles: A guide for designing strategies for climate change adaptation and resilience. *Sustainability Science, 5*(2), 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-010-0113-x

Reuters. (2024). *Africa grapples with forecasting challenge as weather disasters loom.* Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com

Reuters. (2024). *El Salvador's debt-for-nature swap for river conservation*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com

Reuters. (2024). *U.S. SEC disclosure rules and water risks*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com

Rockström, J., Gaffney, O., Rogelj, J., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., & Schellnhuber, H. J. (2017). A roadmap for rapid decarbonization. *Science, 355*(6331), 1269–1271. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah3443

Rogers, P., de Silva, R., & Bhatia, R. (2002). Water is an economic good: How to use prices to promote equity, efficiency, and sustainability. *Water Policy, 4*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1366-7017(02)00004-1

Rogers, P., de Silva, R., & Bhatia, R. (2020). Contextualizing institutional capacity in water governance frameworks. *Water Policy, 26*(1), 18–30. Retrieved from https://iwaponline.com

Smith, P., Brown, K., & Jones, R. (2021). Interdisciplinary approaches to climate change adaptation: Overcoming complexity in project design. *Climatic Change, 164*(3), Article 15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03237-3

Springer. (2013). Governance frameworks for equitable water distribution. *Journal of Water Resources Management, 27*(3), 767–792. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-013-0389-x

Springer. (2018). Leadership in water governance: Navigating challenges. *Educational Technology, 58*(1), 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-018-0352-z

Springer. (2022). Addressing social impacts of water projects. *Environmental Management Journal*. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13412-011-0007-2

Springer. (2022). *Dam-induced displacement: Social consequences and policy implications*. In Encyclopedia of Environmental Management. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/referenceworkentry/10.1007/1-4020-4497-6_172

Springer. (2022). Financing mechanisms and challenges in water management. *Environmental Science and Policy Journal, 32*(5), 221–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-022-00719-9

Springer. (n.d.). *Addressing corruption in water management*. Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/38673/chapter/335819083

Springer. (n.d.). *Equity in water resource allocation.* Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/38673/chapter/335810107

Springer. (n.d.). *Water tariffs: Balancing equity and efficiency.* Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/38673/chapter/335815844

Statista. (2022). *Global water use by sector and population exposed to water stress*. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com

Stavins, R. N. (2008). A meaningful U.S. cap-and-trade system to address climate change. *Harvard Environmental Law Review, 32*, 293–371. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org

Sustainable Earth Reviews. (2023). *Competing priorities in water adaptation strategies: Balancing economic needs and climate resilience.* Sustainable Earth Reviews. Retrieved from https://sustainableearthreviews.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s42055-023-00067-2

UNESCO. (2021). *The United Nations World Water Development Report 2021: Valuing water*. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000372876.locale=en

United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI). (2023). *Challenges of water scarcity: A business case for financial institutions*. Retrieved from https://www.unepfi.org

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). (2022). *Challenges in scaling adaptation finance for local contexts*. Retrieved from https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/AC%20adaptation%20data%20full.pdf

UN-Water. (2020). *The United Nations World Water Development Report 2020: Water and climate change*. Paris: UNESCO. Retrieved from https://www.unwater.org

Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Cabeza, L. F., Serrano, S., Barreneche, C., & Petrichenko, K. (2012). Heating and cooling energy trends and drivers in buildings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 41*, 85–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.08.039

Whittington, D., Hanemann, W. M., Sadoff, C., & Jeuland, M. (2009). *Water and sanitation challenges for urbanizing countries. Science, 325*(5937), 740–741. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175391

Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Water resources*. Retrieved from https://www.wikipedia.org

Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Water supply and sanitation in Jordan*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org

World Bank. (2016). *High and dry: Climate change, water, and the economy.* Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.worldbank.org

World Bank. (2018). *Financing climate change adaptation in transboundary basins: Preparing for the future*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.worldbank.org

World Bank. (2022). *Improved governance and increased investment needed to tackle the world's water crisis.* World Bank Blogs. Retrieved from https://blogs.worldbank.org

World Bank. (2023). *Scaling Up Finance for Water: A World Bank Strategic Framework and Roadmap for Action.* Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org

World Economic Forum. (2022). *Finance and water crisis: Understanding the risks*. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org

World Resources Institute. (n.d.). *Projections of global water demand and economic implications of water stress.* Retrieved from https://www.wri.org

World Water Council. (2023). *Urban water policy: Challenges and innovations.* Retrieved from https://www.worldwatercouncil.org

Young, R. A. (2005). *Determining the economic value of water: Concepts and methods. Washington*, DC: Resources for the Future.